

Valuation Report of Brekk

As of 2023-10-11

Contacts:

Rasmal.io - ☑ valuation@rasmal.io








Table of Contents

Company summary	3
Forecasts summary	4
Current ownership	5
Valuation	6
Qualitative methods	
Scorecard Method	7
Checklist Method	8
Qualitative traits summary	9
VC method	10
DCF Methods	
DCF with LTG	11
DCF with Multiples	12
Financial Projections	13
Conclusion	15
Appendix	16





Company summary Brekk

Saudi Arabia

Industry: **Healthcare Facilities & Services**Business Activity: **Telemedicine Services**

Founders: 3
Employees: 1
Started in: 2020
Incorporated: No

Founders' committed capital:

SAR20000



Opportunity

Business model: **B2B**Scalable Product: **No**Exit strategy: **Multiple exit opportunities**



Current Operations

Stage of development: Idea stage
Employees (excluding founders, interns and freelancers): 1
Profitability: Not breakeven yet



Latest operating performance

All numbers in SAR


/// More information on the history, milestones, team, etc., (e.g. pitchdeck) can be requested by the company






Forecasts summary

Future profitability



Cash forecast

■ Cash in hand ● Free cash flow to equity



/// Full profit and loss and cash flow forecast at page 14.






Past funding rounds

Here is an overview of the past funding rounds and valuations of the company.

No funding rounds to date

Current ownership

Here is an overview of the current shareholders in the company. More information on type of shares, unassigned shares, and in general a detailed cap table can be requested to the company in question.








Valuation

The valuation displayed below is the result of the weighted average of different methods. The use of several methods is a best practice in company valuation, as looking at the business from different perspectives results in a more comprehensive and reliable view.

These methods are compliant with IPEV (International Private Equity Valuation) Guidelines and each of them will be explained in more detail in the following pages of the report.

More information on the weights can be found in the Appendix.






Qualitative methods

Scorecard Method: SAR4,757,638

This method was conceived by William H. Payne of Ohio TechAngels group and endorsed by the Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation. The valuation of the startup depends on how different this is from the assumed average of a set of comparable companies from the same region.

Startups' qualitative traits are divided in 6 criteria, compared with the assumed traits of the average company, and given a score according to whether it over- or under-performs the assumed average company. These scores are multiplied by weights that represent the impact of the criteria on the valuation. The sum of these weighted scores multiplied by the average valuation leads to the company's valuation.

Normalized scores of the company for each criteria



ៅ Parameters

Average valuation (Saudi Arabia): SAR21,749,204

Weights of the criteria

Strength of the team: 30%

Size of the Opportunity: 25%

Strength and protection of the product/service: 15%

Competitive Environment: 10%

Strategic relationships with partners: 10%

Funding required: 10%

/// Please see appendix for data sources, defaults, and breakdown of the traits







Brekk Valuation Report Qualitative methods

Checklist Method: SAR4,209,022

The creator of the method is Dave Berkus, one of the most prominent Californian angel investors. The valuation of the startup consists of intangible building blocks that sum up to the assumed maximum valuation.

The maximum valuation is split in 5 criteria according to their weight. The startup obtains portions of these maximum criteria valuations according to how close its qualitative traits are to the most desirable ones. Their sum is the startup valuation.





Parameters

Maximum valuation (Saudi Arabia): SAR33,200,000

Criteria maximum valuations

Quality of the core team: SAR(30%) 9,960,000 Quality of the Idea: SAR(20%) 6,640,000

Product roll-out and IP protection: SAR(15%) 4,980,000

Strategic Relationships: SAR(15%) 4,980,000

Operating Stage: SAR(20%) 6,640,000

/// Please see appendix for data sources, defaults, and breakdown of the traits





Qualitative traits summary

Below a summary of the traits at the basis of the scores for the two qualitative methods. Please see appendix for detailed breakdown of which trait is used in which method.



Founders

Time commitment: Spare time Average age: Between 35 and 45

Founded other companies before: No, first experience



Network

Board of advisors: No advisor

Number of advisors: 0 Legal consultants: Yes

Current shareholders: Incubator / accelerator

Core team skills and expertise

Working together for: 3 to 5 years Years of experience in the industry: 2

Business and managerial background: Business-related studies

Technical skills: No technical skills



Market

Total Addressable Market (TAM): SAR10 Annual growth rate of the market: 10.00 % Demand validated: Demand still under testing

Internationalization: Local focus now, international expansion is Partners: Key strategic partners contacted

challenging



Product

Product roll-out: Prototype

Feedback received: Fairly positive

Loyalty to the product/service: Average retention



Competition

Level of competition: Dominated by single player

Competitive products are: Good

Differentiation from current solutions: We innovate in terms of

marketing proposition/USP

International competition: Growing, but not yet as developed as

locally



Protection

Barriers to entry of the market: Low

Applicable IP: Trademark and/or domain names







VC Method

Valuation: SAR102,071

The VC (Venture Capital) method is one of most common approaches among financial practitioners in the private company market. The startup is given the valuation that will grant investors a predetermined return at the exit.

The potential exit value of the company is computed with an industry-based EBITDA multiple. The valuation is equal to this value discounted by a required ROI (Return On Investment). This depends on the startup's stage of development, higher for early stage riskier companies, lower for more mature ones. It is the minimum rate that will allow investors to have positive returns from portfolios where most companies fail and gains come from a selected few.





Parameters

Industry Multiple: 13.54

Annual Required ROI: 135.93 %





DCF Methods

The DCF (Discounted Cash Flow) methods represent the most renown approach to company valuation, recommended by academics and a daily tool for financial analysts. The valuation is the present value of all the free cash flows to equity the startup is going to generate in the future, discounted by its risk.

These methods weight the projected free cash flow to equity by the probability the startup will survive. Then, the flows are discounted to present by a rate that represents risks related to industry, size, development stage and profitability. Lastly, an illiquidity discount is applied to the sum of the discounted cash flows to compute the valuation.

The value of cash flows beyond the projected ones is represented by the TV (Terminal Value) and the way it is calculated is the difference between the following two methods.

DCF with LTG: **SAR244,898**

The DCF with LTG (Long Term Growth) assumes the cash flows beyond the projected ones will grow forever at a constant rate based on the industry and computes the TV accordingly.



Parameters

Long term growth: 2.50 % Illiquidity discount: 30.63 %

Discount rateRisk free rate: **4.57** %

Beta: **1.92**

Market Risk Premium: 7.16 %

Survival rates

Year 1: **85.57 %**

Year 2: **77.58 %** Year 3: **70.76 %**

/// Please see appendix for data sources and defaults






Brekk Valuation Report DCF Methods

DCF with Multiples: SAR487,834

The DCF with Multiple assumes the TV (Terminal Value) is equal to the exit value of the company computed with an industry-based EBITDA multiple.



¦¦i⊓ Parameters

EBITDA multiple: 13.54

Illiquidity discount: 30.63 %

Discount rate

Risk free rate: 4.57 %

Beta: **1.92**

Market Risk Premium: 7.16 %

Survival rates

Year 1: **85.57 %**

Year 2: **77.58 %**

Year 3: 70.76 %

 $/\!\!/\!\!/$ Please see appendix for data sources and defaults





Financial Projections

Profit & Loss

The profit & loss projections are displayed below. Data about revenue and operating costs are provided by the company. Depreciation and amortization, interest, and taxes are either provided by the company or estimated by Equidam. Please consult our methodology document for more details.

		09-2022 - 08-2023	09-2023 - 08-2	2024 09-2024 -	08-2025	09-2025 - 08	3-2026
Revenu	le	100,000	100,000	0% 100,000	0%	100,000	0%
Cost o	f Goods Sold	1,000	1,000	0% 1,000	0%	1,000	0%
Salarie	es	-	-	-		-	
Operat	ting Expenses	-	-	-		-	
	EBITDA	99,000	99,000	0% 99,000	0%	99,000	0%
	Ebitda margin	99 %	99 %	99 %	,	99 %	
D&A		-	5,809	5,809	0%	5,809	0%
	EBIT	99,000	93,191	-6% 93,191	0%	93,191	0%
•	Ebit margin	99 %	93 %	93 %		93 %	0 70
Interes	_	-	33 70	33 /		-	
mecres	•						
	EBT	-	93,191	93,191	0%	93,191	0%
Taxes		-	18,638	18,638	0%	18,638	0%
	Nominal tax rate	-		-	-		-
	Effective tax payable	-	18,638	18,638	1	18,638	
	Deferred tax assets	-		-	-		-
	Net profit	99,000	74,552 -2	25% 74,552	0%	74,552	0%
	Net profit margin	99 %	74 %	74 %	,	74 %	

All numbers in SAR





Cash Flow

The cash flow projections are displayed below. Capital expenditure, debt at the end of the year, and equity fundraising are provided by the company. Account payables, account receivables, inventory and D&A are either provided by the company or estimated by Equidam based on the average percentage of revenue for public companies in the company's industry.

		09/2022 - 08/2023	09/2023 - 08/2024	09/2024 - 08/2025	09/2025 - 08/2026
	Net profit	99,000	74,552 -25%	74,552 0%	74,552 0%
Chang	e in Working Capital	-	8,820	-	-
	Working capital	-	8,820	8,820 0%	8,820 0%
	Account Payables	-	8,210	8,210	8,210
	Account Receivables	-	14,499	14,499	14,499
	Inventory	-	2,530	2,530	2,530
D&A		-	5,809	5,809 0%	5,809 0%
Capito	ıl expenditures	-	-	-	-
Chang	e in outstanding debt	-	-	-	-
	Debt at the end of the year	-	-	-	-
	Free cash flow to equity	-	71,541	80,361 +12%	80,361 0%
Equity	fundraising	-	10,000	-	-
	Free cash flow	-	81,541	80,361 -1%	80,361 0%
Beginr	ning of the year cash	-	-	81,541	161,903 +99%
	End of the year cash	_	81,541	161,903	242,265
	End of the year cash	-	81,541	101,903	242,265

All numbers in SAR





Legal Notes

Equidam Valuation SL does not represent or endorse the accuracy or reliability of any advice, opinion, statement or any other information displayed or distributed through this report or its website. The estimates and the data contained herein are made using the information provided by the user, publicly available information and data for different industries. Equidam Valuation SL has not audited or attempted to confirm this information for accuracy or completeness.

Under no circumstances the present report is to be used or considered as an offer, solicitation, or recommendation to sell, or a solicitation of any offer to buy any security. Equidam Valuation SL excludes any warranties and responsibilities concerning the results to be obtained from the present report nor their use and shall not be liable for any claims, losses or damages arising from or occasioned by any inaccuracy, error, delay, or omission, or from use of the report or actions taken in reliance on the information contained in it. The use of this report and the information provided herein is subject to Equidam Valuation SL online Terms of Use and Privacy Policy.





Appendix

Weights of the methods

The default weight of each method is determined by Equidam based on the stage of development, and they are shown below. They can be manually adjusted by the company.

Default weights of the 5 methods

Stage of development	Checklist Method	Scorecard Method	VC Method	DCF with LTG	DCF with Multiples
Idea stage	38%	38%	16%	4% [*]	4%
Development stage	30%	30%	16%	12%	12%
Startup stage	15%	15%	16%	27%	27%
Expansion stage	6%	6%	16%	36%	36%
Growth stage	0%	0%	20%	40%	40%
Maturity stage	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%

Brekk stage of development: Idea stage

These are determined according to the following principles:

- Qualitative information is more important in early stage companies, where performance uncertainty is extremely high, so qualitative methods are weighted in more
- The investors' view is equally important across all stages, so the weight of the VC method does not change
- Quantitative information is more reliable in later stages, when a company already has a proven financial track record. Therefore, it is possible to use the DCF methods more extensively as projected results get founded in past performance





Qualitative methods

Default average and maximum valuations data sources

Dataset: Market valuations from transactions in the last 30 months of company in all industries, all countries, and at

seed funding stage

Datasource: Crunchbase

Usage: Computation of average and maximum (net of outliers) valuations in given geographic areas for the qualitative

methods (Scorecard and Checklist respectively)

Update: Biannual

Average valuation (Scorecard Method) in Saudi Arabia: SAR21,749,204

Maximum valuation (Checklist Method) in Saudi Arabia: SAR33,200,000

Scorecard Method

Default weights of the criteria and breakdown in their traits

Strength of the team	30%	Size of the Opportunity	25%	
Time commitment of the founders		Estimated revenue in the third year according to the stage of the		
Number of employees		development		
Team spirit and comradeship		Estimated size of the market in three years		
Years of industry experience of the core team		Geographical scope of the business		
Business and managerial background of the core team				
Competitive Environment	10%	Strength and protection of the product/service	15%	
Level of competition in the market		Stage of the product/service roll-out		
Quality of competitive products/services		Degree of loyalty of customers		
Competitive advantage over other products/services		Type of IP protection applicable		
Barriers to entry of the market		IP protection in place (if any)		
Threat of international competition				
Strategic relationships with partners	10%	Funding required	10%	
Strength of the relationships with key strategic partners		Capital required according to the stage of development		





Checklist Method

Default weights of the criteria and breakdown in their traits

30% Quality of the core team analyzes: Average age of the founders Presence in the team of serial, successful entrepreneurs Time commitment of the founders Team spirit and comradeship Years of industry experience of the core team Business and managerial background of the core team Technical skills of the core team 20% Quality of the idea analyzes: Validation of the demand for the product/service Feedback received by early adopters/industry experts Level of competition in the market Competitive advantage over other products/services Geographical scope of the business Threat of international competition Degree of loyalty of customers 15% Product roll-out and IP protection analyzes: Stage of the product/service roll-out Type of IP protection applicable IP protection in place (if any) 15% Strategic relationships analyzes: Presence of an advisory board and number of advisors Presence and type of current shareholders Relationship with legal counselors Strength of the relationships with key strategic partners 20% Operating stage Stage of development Current profitability





VC method

Below the sources of the valuation parameters used in the VC Method: EBITDA Multiple and Annual Required ROI, and their default values provided by Equidam

EBITDA multiple

Description: Enterprise value on EBITDA multiples computed over a dataset of global, publicly listed firms organized by

industry

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Busines

Update: Annual

Notes: We favor the use of EBITDA multiple, as we believe revenue multiples fail to capture the ability of startups to

generate cash flow, i.e. the ultimate determinant of value.

Brekk industry: Telemedicine Services

Telemedicine Services EBITDA multiple: 13.54

Annual Required ROI

The default annual required ROI rates are determined by Equidam based on the returns investors require for companies at different stage of development, and are shown below. They can be manually adjusted by the company.

Stage of development	Discount/Required ROI
ldea stage	135.93%
Development stage	111.47%
Startup stage	89.12%
Expansion stage	48.60%
Growth stage	36.20%
Maturity stage	26.10%

Brekk stage of development: Idea stage





DCF Methods

Below the sources of the valuation parameters used in the DCF Methods: Discount Rate, Survival Rates and Illiquidity Discounts, and their default values provided by Equidam.

Discount rate

Risk Free Rate

Description: 10Y government rates

Datasource: Trading Economics (tradingeconomics.com), various public databases

Update: Bi-annual (but more frequent if macroeconomic conditions are more volatile)

Notes: For the Eurozone we apply the German 10Y Bond rate

Brekk country: Saudi Arabia

Saudi Arabia risk free rate: 4.57%

Industry betas

Description: Industry beta computed over industry specific portfolios of global, public listed companies (same as in EBITDA

multiple)

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Business

Update: Annual

Brekk industry: Telemedicine Services

Telemedicine Services default beta: 1.92%

Market Risk Premium

Description: Country based total equity risk premium as implied in the previous 12 trailing months.

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Business

Update: Biannual

Brekk country: Saudi Arabia

Saudi Arabia default market risk premium: 7.16%





Survival Rate

Dataset: Country-level survival probabilities of the latest cohort of companies with three years of data available.

Datasource: European Office of Statistics (http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat), U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

(https://www.bls.gov/), specific academic research and public offices of statistics for different countries.

Update: Annual

Brekk year of incorporation: 2020

Default survival rate Year 1: 85.57%

Default survival rate Year 2: 77.58%

Default survival rate Year 3: 70.76%

Default survival rate Year 4: 65.20%

Default survival rate Year 5: 60.49%

Default survival rate Year 6: 56.41%

Default survival rate Year 7: 52.82%

Default survival rate Year 8: 49.60%

Illiquidity discount

The default illiquidity discount is assigned based on current profitability and projected revenue, according to the approach suggested by William L. Silber.

Brekk illiquidity discount: 30.63%





DCF with LTG

Long term growth

Dataset: Global, publicly listed companies organized by industry (same as in EBITDA multiple)

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Business

Update: Annual

Notes: The value is winsorized over a 0% - 2.5% range. We do not want the long term growth to be above world GDP

growth expectations, as it would mean the company is going to overgrow world economy at some point in time

Brekk industry: Telemedicine Services

Telemedicine Services default long term growth: 2.50

DCF with Multiples

EBITDA multiple

Dataset: Global, publicly listed companies organized by industry

Datasource: Prof. A. Damodaran, NYU Stern School of Business

Update: Annual

Notes: We favor the use of EBITDA multiple, as we believe revenue multiples fail to capture the ability of startups to

generate cash flow, the ultimate determinant of value.

Brekk industry: Telemedicine Services

Telemedicine Services default EBITDA multiple:





Last Available Balance Sheet

Below the simplified, last available balance sheet of the company.

	09/2022 - 08/2023
Cash and equivalents	-
Cash and equivalents	-
Tangible assets	-
Intangible assets	-
Financial assets	-
Deferred tax assets	-
Total Assets	-
Debts due within one year time	-
Debt due beyond one year time	-
Equity	-
Total Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity	-

All numbers in SAR



